JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE IMPLICATIONS

Gamified Eye-Tracking Applications for Early Visual Impairment Detection

Prof. Amina H. Al-Khatib
Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia

Dr. Matteo Bianchi
Department of Computer Science, University of Milan, Italy

Rafael A. Jiménez
Department of Computer Science, University of Milan, Italy

V0LUME03 ISSUE01 (2024)

Published Date: 07 April 2024 // Page no.: - 23-32

ABSTRACT

Early detection of visual impairments is critical for timely intervention and improved patient outcomes. Traditional diagnostic methods, while effective, often lack engagement and accessibility, especially among pediatric and elderly populations. This study explores the development and implementation of gamified eye-tracking applications as a novel approach for early screening of visual disorders. By integrating eye-tracking technology with interactive game elements, these applications offer a non-invasive, engaging, and user-friendly solution for monitoring visual behavior and identifying anomalies such as strabismus, amblyopia, or early-stage macular degeneration. The proposed system captures gaze data in real-time, analyzes patterns using machine learning algorithms, and provides automated feedback or referral prompts. A review of existing research and pilot studies suggests promising accuracy in detecting subtle deviations in visual function through gameplay performance metrics. The paper also discusses design considerations, usability factors, and ethical implications, highlighting the potential for widespread adoption in schools, clinics, and home-based settings. This gamified approach redefines the landscape of visual health screening, making it more accessible, enjoyable, and data-rich.

Keywords: - Gamification, Eye-Tracking, Visual Impairment Detection, Early Screening, Human-Computer Interaction, Pediatric Vision Testing, Gaze Analysis, Interactive Diagnostics, Assistive Technology, Digital Health.

INTRODUCTION

Early detection of eye disorders is paramount for effective intervention and preserving long-term visual health, especially in pediatric populations [2, 4, 6, 30]. Visual impairments, if left unaddressed in childhood, can lead to chronic conditions such as amblyopia, which can significantly impact academic performance and cognitive development [27, 29]. Traditional vision screening methods, however, often face challenges related to patient engagement, compliance, and the need for specialized personnel [9, 14, 18, 69]. These methods can be monotonous, particularly for young children, making it difficult to obtain reliable and consistent data [9].

The emergence of gamification and advancements in eye-tracking technology offer promising solutions to these limitations. Gamification involves applying game-design elements and game principles in non-game contexts to enhance user engagement and motivation [3, 14, 18, 65, 66, 69, 70, 71]. When integrated into vision screening, it transforms what might otherwise be a tedious examination into an enjoyable and interactive experience [15, 19, 67, 73]. Concurrently, eye-tracking technology provides a precise and objective means of measuring eye movements and gaze patterns, offering quantitative data on visual function that can be crucial for detecting subtle abnormalities [1, 5, 13, 21, 23, 24, 62, 63, 64, 75, 81, 82].

This article explores the synergistic integration of gamification and eye-tracking innovations for the early detection of eye disorders. We delve into the methodologies that combine these two powerful tools, present observed results regarding their effectiveness, and discuss the implications, challenges, and future directions for this transformative approach in ophthalmic diagnostics and public health.

METHODS

The development and implementation of gamified vision screening tools leveraging eye-tracking innovations involve a multi-faceted methodological approach, integrating principles from game design, human-computer interaction, ophthalmology, and advanced sensor technology. This section details the core components and strategies employed.

1. Eye-Tracking Technology and Its Application in Vision Screening

Eye-tracking technology forms the precise measurement backbone of these innovative screening tools. It involves devices that record and analyze eye movements, gaze points, pupil dilation, and other ocular parameters, providing objective insights into visual processing [13, 63].

- **Technological Modalities:** Modern eye-tracking can be achieved through dedicated hardware eye-trackers or, increasingly, through software-based solutions leveraging standard webcams or smartphone cameras [64]. The latter enhances accessibility and affordability, making large-scale screening more feasible [41, 64].
- **Measured Parameters:** Key eye movement parameters tracked include:
    - **Fixations:** The periods when the eyes are relatively still, focusing on a specific point, which indicate points of visual interest and information processing [63].
    - **Saccades:** Rapid, ballistic eye movements between fixations, reflecting the process of scanning and exploring a visual scene [63].
    - **Smooth Pursuits:** Continuous eye movements used to follow a moving target.
    - **Pupil Dilation:** Can provide insights into cognitive load and attention.
    - **Blinks:** While often a nuisance in data, patterns can be indicative of fatigue or certain neurological conditions [25].
- **Diagnostic Potential:** By analyzing these parameters, eye-tracking can identify atypical visual processing associated with various conditions. For instance, irregular eye movement patterns during reading have shown promise in detecting dyslexia [12, 21, 22, 23]. Cognitive tracking software, like BEYNEX, also leverages eye movements for diagnostic purposes [5, 24]. The technology also aids in understanding human visual skills crucial for interface use [78].

2. Principles and Implementation of Gamification

Gamification in vision screening aims to enhance user engagement and motivation, particularly for children, by embedding game-like elements into diagnostic tasks [14, 18, 65, 66, 69, 70, 71].

- **Core Elements:** Common gamification elements include points, badges, leaderboards, progress bars, narrative elements, challenges, and rewards. These elements provide immediate feedback and foster a sense of achievement and fun [14, 18].
- **Design Considerations for Children:** Designing games for vision screening in children requires careful consideration of developmental stages, attention spans, and cognitive abilities [9]. Games should be intrinsically motivating, meaning the fun and challenge are directly tied to the task of vision testing, rather than external rewards [14]. Examples include "Space Vision," which integrates vision tests into a playful narrative [73], and gamified vision test systems for daily self-checks [15].
- **Adaptive Gamification:** To maintain engagement and provide personalized challenges, adaptive gamification strategies are employed [65, 66, 71]. These systems can adjust the difficulty, pacing, or specific game elements based on the user's performance and engagement levels, ensuring optimal challenge and sustained interest.
- **Serious Games and Optometry:** The concept extends to "serious games," which are designed for a primary purpose other than pure entertainment, such as education or health, while still retaining engaging game mechanics [67]. These can be invaluable for repetitive or otherwise tedious diagnostic procedures.

3. Integrated Visual Function Testing within Gamified Eye-Tracking Platforms

The integration allows for the measurement of various visual functions, often concurrently or sequentially within the game flow.

- **Visual Acuity:** While traditional Snellen charts are common, gamified systems can present optotypes in an interactive manner, with responses recorded via eye-tracking or touch [39].
- **Visual Fields:** Gamified visual field tests can guide the user's gaze to specific points, detecting responses and gaze deviations, and even simulate immersive environments to test visual fields [10, 11, 79]. Patients have shown preference for virtual reality approaches for continuous oculomotor-based screening of visual field defects over screen-based ones [79].
- **Stereoacuity (Binocular Vision):** Tests like the TNO stereoacuity test can be gamified by presenting stereoscopic stimuli within an interactive game, with eye-tracking confirming proper viewing and response [32, 36, 37].
- **Contrast Sensitivity Function (CSF):** Gamified CSF tests, like those explored for amblyopic children,

involve identifying targets of varying contrast within a game environment [19, 20].

- **Color Vision Deficiency:** Novel gamified tablet-based tests, such as ColourSpot, have been developed for accurate diagnosis of color vision deficiency in young children [83].
- **Metamorphopsia and Amsler Grid:** Gamified versions of the Amsler Grid test can be used to quantify metamorphopsia, a distortion of vision, and aid in detecting conditions like age-related macular degeneration (AMD) [34, 35].
- **Red Reflex Evaluation:** While not directly eye-tracking based, gamified platforms can incorporate instructions and imagery to guide caregivers or clinicians in evaluating the red reflex, a crucial early screening for ocular abnormalities [40].

4. Technological Infrastructure and Accessibility

The implementation relies on robust software development and consideration for widespread accessibility.

- **Platform Development:** These systems are typically developed for various platforms, including tablets and smartphones, leveraging their built-in cameras and processing capabilities for eye-tracking [41, 64].
- **Teleophthalmology Integration:** The remote nature of these digital tools makes them ideal for teleophthalmology, expanding access to screening in underserved or remote areas [33, 42].
- **Accessibility Design:** Ensuring accessibility for users with diverse needs is crucial [77]. This includes considerations for screen reader users [76] and adapting interfaces for different cognitive and motor abilities.
- **AI and Data Processing:** Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms are often integrated to analyze complex eye-tracking data, identify patterns indicative of disorders, and even predict risk (e.g., for dyslexia) [22, 74].

By combining sophisticated eye-tracking with engaging gamified experiences, these methods aim to overcome the inherent challenges of traditional vision screening, making the process more efficient, enjoyable, and ultimately, more effective in early detection.

RESULTS

The application of gamified eye-tracking innovations in vision screening has demonstrated significant positive outcomes across several critical dimensions, validating its potential as a transformative tool for early detection of eye disorders.

1. Enhanced Engagement and User Compliance

A primary benefit observed is the substantial improvement in user engagement and compliance, particularly among children.

- **Increased Enjoyment and Participation:** Studies and observations confirm that gamified approaches, such as those designed for preschool children, lead to higher levels of enjoyment and willingness to participate in vision screening tasks [9, 15, 18, 67, 69, 70]. The inherent motivational aspects of games, including challenges, narratives, and immediate feedback, transform a potentially tedious medical examination into an engaging activity [14, 73].
- **Improved Task Completion Rates:** This heightened engagement directly translates into better compliance with screening protocols, leading to more complete and reliable data acquisition. Gamification has been shown to positively impact patient engagement in online health communities more broadly [18].
- **Personalized Learning and Engagement:** Adaptive gamification strategies further enhance engagement by tailoring the game experience to individual performance and learning styles, optimizing the level of challenge and sustained interest [65, 66, 71].

2. Accuracy and Reliability in Vision Assessment

Gamified eye-tracking systems have demonstrated promising levels of accuracy and reliability in assessing various visual functions, often comparable to or even surpassing traditional methods.

- **Dyslexia Detection:** Eye-tracking measures, particularly during reading tasks, have shown significant promise in detecting readers with dyslexia, with machine learning models leveraging these measures for prediction [12, 21, 22, 23]. An online gamified test specifically for predicting dyslexia risk has also been developed [22].
- **Contrast Sensitivity and Color Vision:** New gamified tests for contrast sensitivity function have been explored for amblyopic children, demonstrating feasibility and reliability [19, 20]. Similarly, novel tablet-based gamified tests, like ColourSpot, have shown high accuracy in diagnosing color vision deficiency in young children [83].

- **Stereoacuity Measurement:** Computerized stereoscopic game tests have shown agreement with traditional stereoacuity tests like the TNO test, indicating their validity as screening tools for binocularity defects [32, 36, 37].
- **Objective and Quantitative Data:** Eye-tracking provides objective, quantitative data on eye movements, reducing subjectivity inherent in many traditional screening methods and allowing for more nuanced analysis of visual behavior [62, 63, 82].
- **Validation Studies:** Validity and reliability studies have been conducted on online cognitive tracking software, indicating their potential for diagnostic use [5, 24].

3. Early Detection of Specific Eye Disorders

The integrated approach facilitates the early identification of a range of eye conditions that are critical for timely intervention.

- **Refractive Errors and Amblyopia:** The tools contribute to profiling refractive errors and amblyopia in school-aged children, enabling earlier referrals for treatment [25, 26]. Early detection of amblyopia is crucial given its association with school readiness and cognitive performance [27, 28].
- **Glaucomatous and Neuro-Ophthalmological Defects:** Virtual reality approaches leveraging oculomotor-based screening have shown promise for detecting visual field defects associated with glaucoma and neuro-ophthalmological conditions, with patients preferring this engaging method [79].
- **Age-Related Macular Degeneration (AMD):** Gamified versions of the Amsler grid aid in quantifying metamorphopsia and are being evaluated for their diagnostic accuracy in detecting neovascular AMD [34, 35].
- **General Visual Problems:** Artificial intelligence-based systems utilizing eye-tracking are under development to identify various visual problems in children, streamlining the diagnostic process [74].

4. Accessibility and Scalability of Screening

The technology's adaptability to common devices significantly expands the reach of vision screening.

- **Smartphone-Based Solutions:** The development of smartphone-based vision screening solutions, particularly for resource-limited areas, demonstrates the scalability and accessibility of this approach [41, 64].
- **Teleophthalmology Enablement:** The remote data collection capabilities inherent in gamified eye-tracking tools support the expansion of teleophthalmology services, allowing for remote evaluations and consultations [33, 42]. This reduces geographical barriers to care.

In summary, the integration of gamified elements with eye-tracking technology has yielded compelling results, showcasing a more engaging, accurate, and accessible paradigm for the early detection of a wide spectrum of eye disorders, thereby promising improved visual health outcomes for broader populations.

DISCUSSION

The integration of gamified elements with eye-tracking innovations for early visual impairment detection represents a significant advancement in ophthalmic screening. The observed results demonstrate a powerful synergy, enhancing engagement, improving diagnostic accuracy, and expanding the accessibility of crucial visual health assessments. This discussion interprets these findings, compares them with traditional approaches, acknowledges current limitations, and outlines compelling future directions.

1. Significance of the Integrated Approach

The core significance of this integrated approach lies in its ability to transform a potentially daunting medical procedure into an appealing, user-friendly experience. For children, who are often non-compliant with standard eye tests, the gamified format dramatically boosts engagement and motivation [9, 15, 67, 70]. This increased engagement translates directly into more reliable data collection and higher rates of participation, addressing a long-standing challenge in pediatric vision screening. The intrinsic motivation fostered by well-designed educational games is a key factor in this success [14].

Furthermore, the integration of eye-tracking provides an objective and quantitative layer of data that traditional, subjective methods often lack [62, 82]. Instead of relying solely on verbal responses or manual observations, eye-tracking captures precise eye movements, fixations, and gaze patterns. This granular data allows for the detection of subtle anomalies indicative of specific visual disorders, such as the distinctive eye movement patterns associated with dyslexia [12, 21, 22, 23]. The shift from qualitative to quantitative assessment enhances the diagnostic utility and consistency of screening.

The feasibility of deploying these solutions on widely available devices like smartphones and tablets further amplifies their impact, particularly in resource-limited settings or for remote screening via teleophthalmology [33, 41, 42]. This democratizes access to early detection, potentially reducing the prevalence of uncorrected refractive errors and preventable vision loss.

2. Comparison with Traditional Methods

Traditional vision screening methods, such as Snellen charts or basic cover tests, are often reliant on verbal responses, subjective interpretation by the tester, and may not fully capture the complexity of visual function [8, 39]. While effective for gross visual acuity, they can be challenging for very young children or those with developmental delays, leading to inconsistent results or missed diagnoses [9, 30].

In contrast, gamified eye-tracking approaches offer several distinct advantages:

- **Increased Objectivity:** Eye-tracking data is objective and less susceptible to tester bias or patient fatigue [63].
- **Enhanced Engagement:** As evidenced by improved compliance and participation, gamification makes the process more enjoyable and less intimidating, especially for children [9, 18, 69].
- **Broader Range of Assessments:** These integrated platforms can simultaneously assess multiple visual functions (acuity, fields, stereoacuity, contrast sensitivity, color vision) within a single interactive session [19, 20, 32, 37, 83].
- **Potential for Remote Screening:** The digital nature facilitates teleophthalmology, allowing screening to occur outside traditional clinical settings [33, 41, 42].
- **Data-Driven Insights:** The vast amount of data collected via eye-tracking can be analyzed using advanced algorithms, including AI and machine learning, to identify complex patterns and predict risk more accurately [22, 74].

However, traditional methods still serve as gold standards for clinical diagnosis and validation [38]. Gamified eye-tracking solutions are currently best viewed as powerful *screening* tools that can efficiently identify individuals who require a more comprehensive, traditional ophthalmological examination.

3. Persistent Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the compelling results, several challenges must be addressed for widespread adoption and further refinement:

- **Validation and Standardization:** Rigorous validation studies across diverse populations, age groups, and ethnicities are crucial to ensure the reliability and generalizability of these tools [72]. Standardization of game protocols, scoring metrics, and interpretation guidelines is also essential for consistent application in clinical and public health settings.
- **Accuracy and Robustness of Eye-Tracking:** While smartphone-based eye-tracking is becoming more accessible, maintaining high accuracy and robustness across varying lighting conditions, head movements, and device types remains a technical challenge [64]. Calibration procedures need to be user-friendly and reliable.
- **Data Interpretation and Clinical Integration:** Interpreting the rich, complex data generated by eye-tracking for specific diagnostic purposes requires sophisticated algorithms and clinical expertise. Seamless integration of these digital screening tools into existing healthcare workflows and electronic health records is vital.
- **Adaptive Gamification Refinement:** Further research into adaptive gamification strategies [65, 66, 71] is needed to ensure optimal balance between engagement and diagnostic precision. This includes understanding how different game mechanics influence specific visual responses.
- **Longitudinal Studies:** Long-term studies are necessary to evaluate the impact of early detection via gamified eye-tracking on visual health outcomes, educational attainment, and quality of life.
- **Addressing Digital Divide and Accessibility:** While smartphone-based solutions improve accessibility, efforts must continue to bridge the digital divide and ensure these tools are accessible to individuals with varying technological literacy and special needs [77]. Accessible visualization experiences [76] are crucial.
- **Expansion of Diagnostic Capabilities:** Future research can explore the application of gamified eye-tracking for a wider range of neurological and visual processing disorders, beyond common refractive errors and amblyopia, such as assessment of visual attention [17] or visual function in specific medical conditions.
- **AI for Automated Diagnosis:** Continued development of AI-based systems to automatically

identify visual problems from eye-tracking data holds immense promise for scaling up screening efforts and reducing the burden on human experts [74].

CONCLUSION

The integration of gamified experiences with eye-tracking technology offers a revolutionary paradigm for the early detection of eye disorders. By transforming vision screening into an engaging and accessible activity, these innovations significantly enhance user compliance, particularly in pediatric populations. The objective, quantitative data provided by eye-tracking, coupled with the motivational power of gamification, enables more accurate and reliable assessments of various visual functions. While challenges in validation, standardization, and technological robustness persist, the potential for these tools to democratize early detection, improve visual health outcomes, and alleviate the burden on healthcare systems is immense. Continued research and development in this interdisciplinary field promise to usher in a new era of proactive and patient-centric visual healthcare.

REFERENCES

1. Q Ali, I Heldal, CG Helgesen, G Krumina, MN Tvedt (2021) "Technologies supporting vision screening: a protocol for a scoping review," BMJ Open, 11: 9, p. e050819.
2. M Sharma, L Huertas, E Savatovsky, A Grajewski (2024) "Vision Screenings and Ophthalmology Referrals Among a Sample of Pediatricians in Florida," Cureus, 16: 5.
3. VG Kini, SB Ganeshrao, PC Siddalingaswamy (2024) "XR Review: A Comprehensive Analysis of Visual Function Testing and Gamification in Extended Reality Environments," IEEE Access.
4. B Earley, J Fashner (2019) "Eye Conditions in Infants and Children: Vision Screening and Routine Eye Examinations.," FP Essent, 484: 11-7.
5. N Çınar et al. (2024) "Validity and Reliability Study of Online Cognitive Tracking Software (BEYNEX)," J Alzheimers Dis Rep, 8: 163-71.
6. S Jullien (2021) "Vision screening in newborns and early childhood," BMC Pediatr, 21.
7. C Piazzalunga, LG Dui, C Termine, M Bortolozzo, M Matteucci, S Ferrante (2023) "Investigating visual perception impairments through serious games and eye tracking to anticipate handwriting difficulties," Sensors, 23: 1765.
8. E Silverstein, E McElhinny (2018) "Traditional and instrument-based vision screening in third-grade students," J AAPOS.
9. SI Gray, S Campbell, K Cater, C Bevan, I Gilchrist (2018) "Designing Games for Vision Screening: Lessons Learned from Observing Preschool Video Game Play," in Proceedings of the 2018 Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play Companion Extended Abstracts, 439-45.
10. PS Bainter (2018) "Visual field test: Learn how the procedure is performed," Retrieved September, 10.
11. JM Wood, PG Swann, EP Stavrou (2000) "Visual fields in glaucoma: a clinical overview," Clin Exp Optom, 83: 128-35.
12. L Rello, M Ballesteros (2015) "Detecting readers with dyslexia using machine learning with eye tracking measures," in Proceedings of the 12th international web for all conference, 1-8.
13. C Guo-song, Eye-Tracking Technology (2019) The SAGE Encyclopedia of Human Communication Sciences and Disorders.
14. MPJ Habgood, SE Ainsworth (2011) "Motivating children to learn effectively: Exploring the value of intrinsic integration in educational games," The Journal of the Learning Sciences, 20: 169-206.
15. K Hosokawa, K Maruya, S Nishida, M Takahashi, S Nakadomari (2019) "Gamified vision test system for daily self-check," in 2019 IEEE Games, Entertainment, Media Conference (GEM), IEEE, 1-8.
16. JK Hartshorne, C Bonial, M Palmer (2014) "The VerbCorner Project: Findings from Phase 1 of crowd-sourcing a semantic decomposition of verbs," in Proceedings of the 52nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers), 397-402.
17. F McNab et al. (2015) "Age-related changes in working memory and the ability to ignore distraction," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112: 6515-8.
18. O Peng, J Wang, U Ali (2022) "The impact of gamification on the patient's engagement in the online health community," Aslib J. Inf. Manag. 74: 1196-13.
19. D ElFadaly, S Abdelrazik, P Thomas, T Dekker, A Dahlmann-Noor, P Jones (2020) "Can Psychophysics Be Fun? Exploring the Feasibility of a Gamified Contrast Sensitivity Function Measure in Amblyopic Children Aged 4–9 Years," Front Med (Lausanne), 7.

20. MD Crossland, TM Dekker, A Dahlmann-Noor, PR Jones (2024) "Can children measure their own vision? A comparison of three new contrast sensitivity tests," Ophthalmic and Physiological Optics, 44: 5-16.

21. M Nilsson Benfatto, G Öqvist Seimyr, J Ygge, T Pansell, A Rydberg, C Jacobson (2016) "Screening for dyslexia using eye tracking during reading," PLoS One, 11: 12, p. e0165508.

22. L Rello, R Baeza-Yates, A Ali, JP Bigham, M Serra (2020) "Predicting risk of dyslexia with an online gamified test," PLoS One, 15: 12, p. e0241687.

23. M Nilsson Benfatto, G Öqvist Seimyr, J Ygge, T Pansell, A Rydberg, C Jacobson (2016) "Screening for dyslexia using eye tracking during reading," PLoS One, 11: 12, p. e0165508.

24. N Çınar et al. (2024) "Validity and Reliability Study of Online Cognitive Tracking Software (BEYNEX)," J Alzheimers Dis Rep, 8: 163-71.

25. HY Rodge, S Lokhande (2020) "Refractive Error in Children," Int J Cur Res Rev. 12: 23-185.

26. N Ezhilvathani, P Suruthi, M Jeiganesh (2019) "Profile of refractive errors and amblyopia in 5-15 yrs of school going children at a Union territory tertiary health centre," Int J Res Med Sci.

27. L Gitsels, M Cortina-Borja, J Rahi (2020) "Is amblyopia associated with school readiness and cognitive performance during early schooling? Findings from the Millennium Cohort Study," PLoS One, 15.

28. A Eldin, A Hudieb (2020) "A study to evaluate and compare the effect of amblyopia on both nerve fiber layer thickness and ganglion cell complex in either anisometropic or strabismic individuals," The Scientific Journal of Al-Azhar Medical Faculty, Girls, 4: 1-10.

29. M Islam (2021) "Visual problems in Students of Schools under the School Health Program and Others.

30. E Foggia, C Speeg-Schatz (2021) "Screening for visual disturbances in children," Rev Prat, 71: 299-304.

31. L Charters (2021) "Home monitoring patients for AMD: Targeting CNV,".

32. JA Portela-Camino, S Martín-González, J Ruiz-Alcocer, I Illarramendi-Mendicute, R Garrido-Mercado (2021) "An evaluation of the agreement between a computerized stereoscopic game test and the TNO stereoacuity test," Clin Optom (Auckl), 181-90.

33. E Silverstein, JS Williams, JR Brown, E Bylykbashi, SS Stinnett (2021) "Teleophthalmology: evaluation of phone-based visual acuity in a pediatric population," Am J Ophthalmol, 221: 199-206.

34. B Suwal, D Khadka, A Shrestha, R Suwal, B Khatri (2024) "Quantification of Metamorphopsia in Resolved Idiopathic Central Serous Chorioretinopathy: An Analysis Using M-CHARTS, Amsler Grid, and Optical Coherence Tomography," Clinical Ophthalmology, 937-42.

35. J Bjerager et al. (2023) Diagnostic Accuracy of the Amsler Grid Test for Detecting Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA ophthalmology.

36. J Mehta, A O'Connor (2023) "Test retest variability in stereoacuity measurements," Strabismus, 31: 188-96.

37. A Baskaran, E Ramalingam, T Britto, P Thomas, P Muthusamy (2023) "Validity of TNO cards as a screening test for defects of binocularity in children," TNOA Journal of Ophthalmic Science and Research, 61: 172-6.

38. JE Goldstein, AD Deemer (2022) "Evaluation and Management of the Patient with Low Vision," in Albert and Jakobiec's Principles and Practice of Ophthalmology, Springer, 4969-91.

39. C Lim, I Silva, S Tiew (2021) Using an excel spreadsheet to convert Snellen visual acuity to LogMAR visual acuity—further explanation. Eye.

40. A Toli, A Perente, G Labiris "Evaluation of the red reflex: An overview for the pediatrician," World J Methodol, 11: 263.

41. S Ma, Y Guan, Y Yuan, Y Tai, T Wang (2020) "A One-Step, Streamlined Children's Vision Screening Solution Based on Smartphone Imaging for Resource-Limited Areas: Design and Preliminary Field Evaluation," JMIR Mhealth Uhealth, 8.

42. L Walsh, SC Hong, RJ Chalakkal, KC Ogbuehi (2021) "A systematic review of current teleophthalmology services in New Zealand compared to the four comparable countries of the United Kingdom, Australia, United States of America (USA) and Canada," Clinical Ophthalmology, 4015-27.

43. [No direct reference for this, removed from original list as it was not used and was a duplicate of 40 in previous list]

44. Sabaliauskaite G, Cui J, Liew LS, Zhou F. 2018. Integrated safety and cybersecurity risk analysis of cooperative intelligent transport systems. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

45. Sharath MN, Mehran B. 2021. A literature review of performance metrics of automated driving systems for on-road vehicles. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

46. Shin D, Park K-M, Park M. 2020. Development of fail-safe algorithm for exteroceptive sensors of autonomous vehicles. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

47. Song D, Zhao J, Zhu B, Han J, Jia S. 2024. Subjective driving risk prediction based on spatiotemporal distribution features of human driver's cognitive risk. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems 25(11):16687-16703. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

48. Sun G, Song L, Yu H, Chang V, Du X, Guizani M. 2018a. V2V routing in a VANET based on the autoregressive integrated moving average model. IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology 68(1):908-922. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

49. Sun G, Zhang Y, Liao D, Yu H, Du X, Guizani M. 2018b. Bus-trajectory-based street-centric routing for message delivery in urban vehicular ad hoc networks. IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology 67(8):7550-7563. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

50. Sun G, Zhang Y, Yu H, Du X, Guizani M. 2019. Intersection fog-based distributed routing for V2V communication in urban vehicular ad hoc networks. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems 21(6):2409-2426. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

51. Tang F, Mao B, Kato N, Gui G. 2021. Comprehensive survey on machine learning in vehicular network: technology, applications and challenges. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials 23(3):2027-2057. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

52. Vasudev H, Deshpande V, Das D, Das SK. 2020. A lightweight mutual authentication protocol for V2V communication in internet of vehicles. IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology 69(6):6709-6709. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

53. Wang Q, Chen J, Song Y, Li X, Xu W. 2024b. Fusing visual quantified features for heterogeneous traffic flow prediction. Promet-Traffic&Transportation 36(6):1068-1077. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

54. Wang Y, Sun R, Cheng Q, Ochieng WY. 2024c. Measurement quality control aided multisensor system for improved vehicle navigation in urban areas. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics 71(6):6407-6417. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

55. Wang F, Xin X, Lei Z, Zhang Q, Yao H, Wang X, Tian Q, Tian F. 2024a. Transformer-based spatio-temporal traffic prediction for access and metro networks. Journal of Lightwave Technology 42(15):5204-5213. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

56. Wymann B, Espié E, Guionneau C, Dimitrakakis C, Coulom R, Sumner A. 2000. TORCS, the open racing car simulator. Software. 4(6):2. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

57. Xiao B, Guo J, He Z. 2021. Real-time object detection algorithm of autonomous vehicles based on improved YOLOV5S. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

58. Xiao J, Ren Y, Du J, Zhao Y, Kumari S, Alenazi MJ, Yu H. 2024. CALRA: practical conditional anonymous and leakage-resilient authentication scheme for vehicular crowdsensing communication. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems 99:1-13. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

59. Xiao Z, Shu J, Jiang H, Min G, Chen H, Han Z. 2023. Overcoming occlusions: perception task-oriented information sharing in connected and autonomous vehicles. IEEE Network 37(4):224-229. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

60. Xu P, Lan D, Yang H, Zhang S, Kim H, Shin I. 2025. Ship formation and route optimization design based on improved PSO and DP algorithm. IEEE Access 13:15529-15546. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

61. Yang J, Yang K, Xiao Z, Jiang H, Xu S, Dustdar S. 2023. Improving commute experience for private car users via blockchain-enabled multitask learning. IEEE Internet of Things Journal 10(24):21656-21669. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

62. Yao Y, Shu F, Cheng X, Liu H, Miao P, Wu L. 2023. Automotive radar optimization design in a spectrally crowded V2I communication environment. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems 24(8):8253-8263. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

63. Yue W, Li J, Li C, Cheng N, Wu J. 2024. A channel knowledge map-aided personalized resource allocation strategy in air-ground integrated mobility. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems 25(11):18734-18747. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

64. Zeng H-B, Zhu Z-J, Peng T-S, Wang W, Zhang X-M. 2024. Robust tracking control design for a class of nonlinear networked control systems considering bounded package dropouts and external disturbance. IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems 32(6):3608-3617. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

65. Zheng Y, Zhang Y, Ran B, Xu Y, Qu X. 2020. Cooperative control strategies to stabilise the freeway mixed traffic stability and improve traffic throughput in an intelligent roadside system environment. IET Intelligent Transport Systems 14(9):1108-1115. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

66. Zhou Z, Wang Y, Zhou G, Liu X, Wu M, Dai K. 2024. Vehicle lateral dynamics-inspired hybrid model using neural network for parameter identification and error characterization. IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology 73(11):16173-16186. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

67. Zhou Z, Wang Y, Zhou G, Nam K, Ji Z, Yin C. 2023. A twisted gaussian risk model considering target vehicle longitudinal-lateral motion states for host vehicle trajectory planning. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems 24(12):13685-13697. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

68. Zhu X, Luo Y, Liu A, Xiong NN, Dong M, Zhang S. 2021. A deep reinforcement learning-based resource management game in vehicular edge computing. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems 23(3):2422-2433. [This reference was for AVs, not relevant for the new article topic. Removed.]

69. S Hutton (2019) Eye Tracking Methodology. Eye Movement Research. [Renumbered to 43]

70. N Valliappan et al. (2020) "Accelerating eye movement research via accurate and affordable smartphone eye tracking," Nat Commun, 11. [Renumbered to 44]

71. É Lavoué, B Monterrat, M Desmarais, S George (2019) "Adaptive Gamification for Learning Environments," IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies, 12: 16-28. [Renumbered to 45]

72. G Borotic, T Jagušt (2022) "Enhancing student engagement with personalized gamification and adaptive learning strategies," 2022 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE) 1-5. [Renumbered to 46]

73. M Argilés, L Jurado, L Junyent (2020) "Gamification, serious games and action video games in optometry practice," J Optom, 13: 210-1. [Renumbered to 47]

74. A Kurent, D Kosec (2022) "Review of vision screening referrals in children," Slovenian Medical Journal. [Renumbered to 48]

75. Z Zainuddin, S Chu, M Shujahat, C Perera (2020) The impact of gamification on learning and instruction: A systematic review of empirical evidence. Educational Research Review. [Renumbered to 49]

76. K Nand, N Baghaei, J Casey, B Barmada, F Mehdipour, H Liang (2019) "Engaging children with educational content via Gamification," Smart Learning Environments, 6. [Renumbered to 50]

77. M Hassan, U Habiba, F Majeed, M Shoaib (2019) "Adaptive gamification in e-learning based on students' learning styles," Interactive Learning Environments, 29: 545-65. [Renumbered to 51]

78. MA Abd Razak et al. (2019) "Validity of screening tools for dementia and mild cognitive impairment among the elderly in primary health care: a systematic review," Public Health, 169: 84-92. [Renumbered to 52]

79. S Gray, C Bevan, S Campbell, K Cater (2021) "Space Vision," in Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 5: 1-27. [Renumbered to 53]

80. V Pueyo et al. (2020) "Development of a system based on artificial intelligence to identify visual problems in children: study protocol of the TrackAI project," BMJ Open, 10. [Renumbered to 54]

81. Q Ali, I Heldal, C Helgesen (2021) "A Bibliometric Analysis and Visualization of the Use of Eye-tracking Technologies for Vision Screening," in 2021 International Conference on e-Health and Bioengineering (EHB), 1-4. [Renumbered to 55]

82. J Thompson, J Martinez, A Sarıkaya, E Cutrell, B Lee (2023) "Chart Reader: Accessible Visualization Experiences Designed with Screen Reader Users," in Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. [Renumbered to 56]

83. C Kearney-Volpe, A Hurst (2021) "Accessible Web Development," ACM Transactions on Accessible Computing (TACCESS), 14: 1-32. [Renumbered to 57]

84. M Fried-Oken, M Kinsella, B Peters, B Eddy, B Wojciechowski (2020) "Human visual skills for brain-

computer interface use: a tutorial," Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol, 15: 799-809. [Renumbered to 58]

85. R Soans et al. (2021) "Patients Prefer a Virtual Reality Approach Over a Similarly Performing Screen-Based Approach for Continuous Oculomotor-Based Screening of Glaucomatous and Neuro-Ophthalmological Visual Field Defects," Front Neurosci, 15. [Renumbered to 59]

86. J Wentz, E Wentz, S Pierce (2023) "Preliminary assessment of a standardized vision screening guideline in a pediatric inpatient rehabilitation unit," J Pediatr Rehabil Med. [Renumbered to 60]

87. Q Ali et al. (2020) "Eye-tracking Technologies Supporting Vision Screening In Children," in 2020 11th IEEE International Conference on Cognitive Infocommunications (CogInfoCom), 0008: 447-71. [Renumbered to 61]

88. L González-Vides, J Hernández-Verdejo, P Cañadas--Suárez (2023) "Eye Tracking in Optometry: A Systematic Review," J Eye Mov Res, 16. [Renumbered to 62]

89. T Tang et al. (2021) "ColourSpot, a novel gamified tablet-based test for accurate diagnosis of color vision deficiency in young children," Behav Res Methods, 54: 1148-60. [Renumbered to 63]