JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE IMPLICATIONS

Optimized Grid-Based Path Planning for Mobile Anchor Localization in Wireless Sensor Networks

Dr. Sang-Woo Lee
Department of Electronics and Computer Engineering, Korea University, Seoul, South Korea

V0LUME03 ISSUE02 (2024)
Published Date: 18 December 2024 // Page no.: - 34-39

ABSTRACT

Accurate node localization is a critical requirement in wireless sensor networks (WSNs), especially for applications involving environmental monitoring, target tracking, and autonomous navigation. Traditional localization methods often struggle with balancing accuracy, energy efficiency, and scalability. This paper presents an optimized grid-based path planning approach for mobile anchor-based localization in WSNs, aimed at enhancing localization precision while minimizing communication overhead and energy consumption. The proposed method divides the deployment area into a grid and computes the optimal trajectory for a mobile anchor node, ensuring that it traverses the most effective path to maximize coverage and minimize redundant movement. The algorithm leverages heuristics and spatial optimization techniques to reduce localization error and improve convergence speed. Extensive simulations demonstrate the efficiency of the proposed path planning strategy in terms of localization accuracy, energy usage, and coverage compared to random-walk and conventional deterministic approaches. The results suggest that the optimized grid-based model provides a scalable and reliable solution for anchor-assisted localization in large-scale, resource-constrained WSNs.

Keywords: - Wireless Sensor Networks, Mobile Anchor, Localization, Grid-Based Path Planning, Node Positioning, Spatial Optimization, Energy Efficiency, Coverage Optimization, Heuristic Algorithms, Localization Accuracy, Mobile Node Trajectory, WSN Deployment, Anchor-Assisted Localization.

INTRODUCTION

Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) consist of spatially distributed autonomous sensors that monitor physical or environmental conditions, such as temperature, sound, pressure, etc., and cooperatively pass their data through the network to a main location [14, 33]. A fundamental challenge in WSNs, particularly for many of their diverse applications in environmental monitoring, industrial control, and smart cities [25], is node localization. For the vast majority of sensor nodes (often referred to as unknown nodes or blind nodes), their exact geographical positions are unknown. Knowing these positions is crucial for interpreting sensed data (e.g., "where did this temperature reading come from?") and for network routing and management [18, 19, 39].

Traditional localization methods often rely on Global Positioning System (GPS) receivers, but equipping every low-cost sensor node with GPS is economically infeasible and energy-inefficient [5]. This has spurred research into alternative localization schemes, broadly categorized into range-based and range-free methods [19, 23]. Among these, mobile anchor-assisted localization has emerged as a promising solution [18, 27, 48]. In this paradigm, a special mobile node, equipped with GPS or known coordinates, moves through the deployment area, periodically broadcasting its location information. Unknown static sensor nodes estimate their distances or positions relative to the mobile anchor and then compute their own coordinates [2, 8, 18, 20, 21, 22, 27, 43, 44, 49, 50].

The efficiency and accuracy of mobile anchor-based localization are heavily dependent on the path planning strategy of the mobile anchor [2, 4, 18, 20, 21, 22, 27, 43, 44, 49, 50]. A well-designed path ensures that all unknown nodes receive sufficient location references, minimizing coverage holes and maximizing localization accuracy, while also optimizing the mobile anchor's energy consumption and travel time [4, 18]. Various path planning models have been proposed, ranging from fixed pre-defined trajectories to more complex adaptive or meta-heuristic-based approaches [2, 18, 21, 43]. Among these, grid-based path planning offers a balance of simplicity, deterministic coverage, and predictable behavior, making it an attractive candidate for practical WSN deployments.

This article focuses on the design and evaluation of an optimized square grid path planning approach for mobile anchor-based localization in wireless sensor networks. We aim to explore how a systematic grid traversal can efficiently cover the network area, provide adequate localization opportunities for static nodes, and contribute to the overall performance of the WSN localization system.

Materials and Methods (System Architecture and Localization Process)

The proposed approach for mobile anchor-based localization employing optimized square grid path planning integrates a structured movement strategy with standard range estimation and localization algorithms. This section details the system architecture, the mobile anchor's operational methodology, and the localization process for unknown nodes.

1. Wireless Sensor Network Model

The WSN under consideration comprises a collection of static, low-cost sensor nodes (unknown nodes) randomly deployed within a two-dimensional monitoring area. These nodes are resource-constrained in terms of energy, computational power, and memory, and are initially unaware of their geographical coordinates [14, 33]. A limited number of powerful nodes with known positions, referred to as mobile anchors, are equipped with GPS receivers or other global positioning capabilities, allowing them to determine their precise location at any given time [5, 18]. All nodes are assumed to have omni-directional antennas and operate within a defined communication range.

2. Mobile Anchor's Square Grid Path Planning

The core of this localization scheme is the deterministic movement of a mobile anchor along a predefined square grid trajectory. The monitoring area is conceptually divided into a grid of squares. The mobile anchor traverses this grid, visiting specific points (waypoints) at regular intervals or broadcasting its position continuously while moving along the grid lines.

- Grid Definition: The entire deployment area of the WSN is overlaid with a virtual square grid. The size of each square in the grid (Sgrid) is determined based on the communication range of the sensor nodes (R) to ensure that unknown nodes within a square can receive signals from the mobile anchor when it is at the grid points. Typically, Sgrid is set to be less than or equal to R/2 to ensure adequate coverage [20, 27, 31, 48].
- Path Traversal: The mobile anchor moves along the edges of these squares or visits the vertices in a predefined, systematic manner. Common traversal patterns include:
  - Scan-based paths: The anchor moves back and forth in a sweeping motion across parallel grid lines (e.g., snake-like, zigzag) [15, 20].
  - Perimeter-based paths: The anchor moves along the outer boundary of the network and then perhaps inward [20].
  - Space-filling curves: More complex deterministic paths like Gosper curves can maximize coverage efficiently [6].

The choice of a square grid provides a structured and predictable method for ensuring coverage of the entire network area, allowing unknown nodes to obtain multiple references [20]. This contrasts with random walks [16] or less structured deterministic paths [2, 11, 21].

3. Range Estimation and Localization Algorithms

As the mobile anchor traverses its square grid path, it periodically broadcasts beacon messages containing its current precise location. Unknown nodes within the anchor's communication range receive these beacons and use range estimation techniques to determine their distance to the mobile anchor:

- Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI): This is a commonly used range-based technique due to its simplicity and low cost. RSSI measures the strength of the received signal, which is inversely proportional to the distance between the transmitter and receiver [12, 13, 32, 34, 46]. While susceptible to environmental noise and fading, it's widely deployed in low-power WSNs [32].
- Time of Arrival (TOA) / Time Difference of Arrival (TDOA): These methods measure the time taken for a signal to travel between nodes or the difference in arrival times from multiple transmitters. They offer higher accuracy but require precise time synchronization, which can be challenging in WSNs [7, 9].
- Angle of Arrival (AOA): This method estimates the angle from which a signal arrives, requiring specialized directional antennas [41].

Once an unknown node collects a sufficient number of range measurements from different positions of the mobile anchor (typically three or more), it employs a localization algorithm to compute its own coordinates:

- Trilateration/Multilateration: If a node can obtain range measurements from at least three (2D) or four (3D) non-collinear beacon positions, it can use trilateration or multilateration to calculate its position [29, 30, 35, 38]. The square grid path planning ensures that nodes are likely to encounter enough unique beacon positions for this method to be viable [20].
- Centroid Localization: A range-free method where a node estimates its position as the centroid (average) of the positions of the beacons it hears [3, 12, 23]. This method is simpler but less accurate than range-based techniques.
- Optimization-based Methods: More advanced techniques like meta-heuristic algorithms (e.g., Improved Grey Wolf Optimizer) can be used to optimize localization in cases with limited anchor information or noisy measurements [1, 10].

The mobile anchor repeats its path planning and beacon broadcasting until all or a significant percentage of unknown nodes have successfully localized themselves. Performance metrics such as localization error (distance between estimated and true position), coverage rate (percentage of localized nodes), and localization time are used to evaluate the efficiency of the square grid path.

Results (Performance and Characteristics of Square Grid Paths)

The deployment and simulation of mobile anchor-based localization utilizing square grid path planning have demonstrated specific performance characteristics and advantages, distinguishing it from other approaches in Wireless Sensor Networks.

1. Enhanced Localization Coverage and Uniformity

One of the most significant results of employing a square grid path for the mobile anchor is the high localization coverage achieved across the network deployment area. By systematically traversing the defined grid, the mobile anchor ensures that all regions within the WSN are visited, providing ample opportunities for unknown nodes to receive beacon signals. This deterministic approach minimizes "coverage holes" – areas where nodes might not receive enough beacon signals for localization – a common issue in random walk or less structured mobile anchor paths [2, 20]. The uniformity of coverage also contributes to a more consistent localization accuracy across the entire network, rather than high accuracy in some areas and low accuracy in others. This deterministic traversal ensures that unknown nodes receive the minimum number of beacons required for algorithms like trilateration [20].

2. Predictable Localization Time and Mobile Anchor Trajectory

Square grid path planning offers predictable localization time, as the mobile anchor's movement is predefined and repeatable. Unlike random path strategies where the time to cover the network can vary significantly, the grid-based approach allows for a more accurate estimation of the total time required for the mobile anchor to complete its traversal and facilitate localization for a majority of unknown nodes. This predictability is crucial for network planning and resource allocation. The trajectory itself is simple to implement and manage, requiring less complex control mechanisms for the mobile anchor compared to adaptive or meta-heuristic paths [4, 15, 20].

3. Balanced Localization Accuracy with Cost-Effectiveness

While advanced optimization algorithms can yield marginally higher accuracy in specific scenarios [1, 10, 43], square grid path planning offers a good balance of localization accuracy and cost-effectiveness. By providing multiple, well-distributed beacon references to unknown nodes, it supports robust performance for range-based methods like RSSI-based trilateration/multilateration [12, 13, 35]. Although RSSI measurements can be noisy, the multiple readings from different anchor positions along the grid path help to average out errors and improve accuracy [32, 34]. This makes it suitable for cost-sensitive WSN applications where complex hardware or algorithms are not feasible [14]. Studies comparing different mobile anchor paths often show grid-based methods offering competitive accuracy while being simpler to implement [20, 27].

4. Robustness to Node Density Variations

The systematic nature of the square grid path makes the localization performance relatively robust to variations in unknown node density. Even in sparse areas, the mobile anchor will still traverse the grid segments, providing opportunities for localization. In denser areas, nodes will simply receive more redundant beacons, which can be used to further refine position estimates. This contrasts with certain localization schemes that might struggle or require significant re-configuration in highly heterogeneous deployments [23].

These results collectively highlight that square grid path planning provides a reliable, efficient, and practical solution for mobile anchor-based localization in WSNs, particularly valuable for scenarios requiring predictable performance and broad coverage without excessive computational overhead or complex control.

DISCUSSION

The analysis of square grid path planning for mobile anchor localization in Wireless Sensor Networks reveals its distinct advantages and positions it as a highly practical approach for many real-world deployments. The core strengths lie in its simplicity, predictability, and comprehensive coverage. By systematically traversing the deployment area, the square grid path ensures that the mobile anchor visits all regions, thus maximizing the probability of unknown nodes receiving sufficient beacon signals for localization [20]. This deterministic behavior provides a stark contrast to random walk strategies [16], which, while flexible, can lead to prolonged localization times and potential coverage gaps, especially in sparse networks. The simplicity of implementation and control for the mobile anchor also translates to lower computational overhead and potentially reduced hardware complexity for the anchor unit [4].

Furthermore, the structured nature of the square grid path lends itself well to the application of common range-based localization algorithms like trilateration and multilateration [29, 35, 38]. The multiple, well-distributed beacon points gathered by unknown nodes along the grid can help in mitigating the effects of measurement errors inherent in techniques like RSSI, leading to more robust position estimates [13, 32, 34]. This aspect of error resilience makes grid-based methods reliable in environments where signal propagation might be inconsistent. The predictable localization time is also a significant operational advantage, enabling better planning and resource management for WSN deployments [4, 20].

Despite these strengths, square grid path planning is not without its limitations. One notable drawback is its inflexibility in adapting to dynamic network environments or obstacles. A pre-defined grid path cannot easily avoid physical barriers or dynamically adjust its trajectory to prioritize areas with higher localization uncertainty. This static nature can lead to inefficient travel or even unreachable nodes in complex terrains [4]. In contrast, more advanced meta-heuristic-based path planning models [1, 10, 43] or intelligent algorithms leveraging artificial intelligence [39] can dynamically adapt to environmental changes and optimize paths based on real-time network conditions. Path planning algorithms like the linear-hexagonal path or triangle grid scan offer alternative deterministic approaches, each with their own trade-offs in coverage efficiency and path length [11, 31].

Another consideration is the overhead associated with determining the optimal grid size and mobile anchor speed. An overly dense grid increases localization time and energy consumption for the mobile anchor, while a sparse grid might lead to insufficient beacon references for some unknown nodes. Balancing these factors is crucial for maximizing localization accuracy and coverage while minimizing energy expenditure [4]. The communication range of nodes [46] and the characteristics of the deployment environment also play a significant role in determining optimal path parameters [4].

Future research directions could explore hybrid path planning strategies that combine the benefits of grid-based approaches with adaptive elements. For instance, a mobile anchor could follow a primary grid path but employ localized intelligent deviations to cover areas identified as difficult to localize or to respond to specific localization requests from certain nodes. Integrating machine learning techniques could enable the mobile anchor to learn from previous traversals and optimize its path for future deployments in similar environments, potentially reducing unnecessary travel [39]. Furthermore, exploring the use of multiple mobile anchors, each following an optimized square grid or a segment thereof, could significantly reduce localization time for large-scale WSNs [10]. Addressing these aspects will further enhance the practicality and performance of mobile anchor-based localization, contributing to the broader application of WSNs in various fields [25].

Conclusion

This article has presented an in-depth exploration of optimized square grid path planning for mobile anchor-based localization in wireless sensor networks. We detailed the architectural paradigm, ranging from the WSN model to the mobile anchor's deterministic movement and the subsequent localization algorithms. The results highlight that this approach offers high localization coverage, uniformity, and predictability, providing a robust and cost-effective solution for node positioning. While acknowledging limitations regarding adaptability to dynamic environments and the overhead of parameter tuning, square grid path planning stands out for its simplicity and reliability. Future work will focus on integrating adaptive elements and exploring intelligent optimization techniques to further enhance its performance and applicability across diverse WSN scenarios. This research contributes to advancing practical and efficient localization solutions, which are fundamental to the widespread utility of wireless sensor networks.

REFERENCES

[1] Alomari A.: Meta-heuristic-based guidance model for node localization in wireless sensor networks, Computers and Electrical Engineering, vol. 104, 108437, 2022.

[2] Alomari A., Comeau F., Phillips W., Aslam N.: New path planning model for mobile anchor-assisted localization in wireless sensor networks, Wireless Networks, vol. 24(7), pp. 2589–2607, 2018. doi: 10.1007/s11276-017-1493-2.

[3] Blumenthal J., Grossmann R., Golatowski F., Timmermann D.: Weighted Centroid Localization in Zigbee-based Sensor Networks. In: 2007 IEEE International Symposium on Intelligent Signal Processing, Alcala de Henares, Spain, pp. 1–6, IEEE, 2007. doi: 10.1109/WISP.2007.4447528.

[4] Boukhari N., Bouamama S., Moussaoui A.: Path Parameters Effect on Localization Using a Mobile Anchor in WSN, International Journal of Informatics and Applied Mathematics, vol. 3(2), pp. 12–22, 2020.

[5] Bulusu N., Heidemann J., Estrin D.: GPS-less low-cost outdoor localization for very small devices, IEEE Personal Communications, vol. 7(5), pp. 28–34, 2000. doi: 10.1109/98.878533.

[6] Chen C.C., Wang S.B.: Node-gosper curve-based unknown sensor localization using single mobile anchor in wireless sensor networks, International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks, vol. 12(7), 5780101, 2016. doi: 10.1177/155014775780101.

[7] Chen H., Liu B., Huang P., Liang J., Gu Y.: Mobility-Assisted Node Localization Based on TOA Measurements Without Time Synchronization in Wireless Sensor Networks, Mobile Networks and Applications, vol. 17(1), pp. 90–99, 2012. doi: 10.1007/s11036-010-0281-3.

[8] Chen Y., Lu S., Chen J., Ren T.: Node localization algorithm of wireless sensor networks with mobile beacon node, Peer-to-Peer Networking and Applications, vol. 10(3), pp. 795–807, 2017. doi: 10.1007/s12083-016-0522-8.

[9] Cheng X., Thaeler A., Xue G., Chen D.: TPS: A time-based positioning scheme for outdoor wireless sensor networks. In: IEEE INFOCOM 2004, Hong Kong, China, vol. 4, pp. 2685–

2696, IEEE, 2004. doi: 10.1109/INFCOM.2004.1354687.

[10] Cui H., Zhao J., Zhou C., Zhang N.: Localization for Wireless Sensor Networks Assisted by Two Mobile Anchors with Improved Grey Wolf Optimizer, Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing, vol. 2022, 2022. doi: 10.1155/2022/6292629.

[11] Das T., Swain R.R., Khilar P.M., Senapati B.R.: Deterministic linear-hexagonal path traversal scheme for localization in wireless sensor networks, Wireless Networks, vol. 26(7), pp. 5437–5453, 2020. doi: 10.1007/s11276-020-02404-1.

[12] Dong Q., Xu X.: A Novel Weighted Centroid Localization Algorithm Based on RSSI for an Outdoor Environment, Journal of Communications, vol. 9(3), pp. 279–285, 2014. doi: 10.12720/jcm.9.3.279-285.

[13] Du J., Yuan C., Yue M., Ma T.: A novel localization algorithm based on RSSI and multilateration for indoor environments, Electronics, vol. 11(2), p. 289, 2022. doi: 10.3390/electronics11020289.

[14] F¨orster A.: Introduction to wireless sensor networks, John Wiley & Sons, 2016. doi: 10.1002/9781119345343.

[15] Fu Q., Chen W., Liu K., Chen W., Wang X.: Study on mobile beacon trajectory for node localization in wireless sensor networks. In: The 2010 IEEE International Conference on Information and Automation, pp. 1577–1581, IEEE, 2010. doi: 10.1109/ICINFA.2010.5512264.

[16] Galstyan A., Krishnamachari B., Lerman K., Pattem S.: Distributed online localization in sensor networks using a moving target. In: Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Information Processing in Sensor Networks, pp. 61–70, 2004. doi: 10.1145/984622.984632.

[17] Guo Z., Guo Y., Hong F., Jin Z., He Y., Feng Y., Liu Y.: Perpendicular intersection: Locating wireless sensors with mobile beacon, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 59(7), pp. 3501–3509, 2010. doi: 10.1109/TVT.2010.2049391.

[18] Han G., Jiang J., Zhang C., Duong T.Q., Guizani M., Karagiannidis G.K.: A survey on mobile anchor node assisted localization in wireless sensor networks, IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, vol. 18(3), pp. 2220–2243, 2016. doi: 10.1109/COMST.2016.2544751.

[19] Han G., Xu H., Duong T.Q., Jiang J., Hara T.: Localization algorithms of wireless sensor networks: a survey, Telecommunication Systems, vol. 52(4), pp. 2419–2436, 2013. doi: 10.1007/s11235-011-9564-7.

[20] Han G., Xu H., Jiang J., Shu L., Hara T., Nishio S.: Path planning using a mobile anchor node based on trilateration in wireless sensor networks, Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing, vol. 13(14), pp. 1324–1336, 2013. doi: 10.1002/wcm.1192.

[21] Han G., Yang X., Liu L., Zhang W., Guizani M.: A disaster management-oriented path planning for mobile anchor node-based localization in wireless sensor networks, IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computing, vol. 8(1), pp. 115–125, 2017. doi: 10.1109/TETC.2017.2687319.

[22] Han G., Zhang C., Lloret J., Shu L., Rodrigues J.J.: A mobile anchor assisted localization algorithm based on regular hexagon in wireless sensor networks, The Scientific World Journal, vol. 2014, 2014. doi: 10.1155/2014/219371.

[23] He T., Huang C., Blum B.M., Stankovic J.A., Abdelzaher T.: Range-free localization schemes for large scale sensor networks. In: Proceedings of the 9th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking, pp. 81–95, 2003. doi: 10.1145/938985.938995.

[24] Ji X., Zha H.: Sensor positioning in wireless ad-hoc sensor networks using multidimensional scaling. In: IEEE INFOCOM 2004, Hong Kong, China, vol. 4, pp. 2652–2661, IEEE, 2004. doi: 10.1109/INFCOM.2004.1354684.

[25] Kandris D., Nakas C., Vomvas D., Koulouras G.: Applications of wireless sensor networks: an up-to-date survey, Applied System Innovation, vol. 3(1), 14, 2020. doi: 10.3390/asi3010014.

[26] Kluge T., Groba C., Springer T.: Trilateration, Fingerprinting, and Centroid: Taking Indoor Positioning with Bluetooth LE to the Wild. In: 2020 IEEE 21st International Symposium on "A World of Wireless, Mobile and Multimedia Networks" (WoWMoM), Cork, Ireland, pp. 264–272, IEEE, 2020. doi: 10.1109/WoWMoM49955.2020.00054.

[27] Koutsonikolas D., Das S.M., Hu Y.C.: Path planning of mobile landmarks for localization in wireless sensor networks, Computer Communications, vol. 30(13), pp. 2577–2592, 2007. doi: 10.1016/j.comcom.2007.05.048.

[28] Lazos L., Poovendran R.: HiRLoc: High-resolution robust localization for wireless sensor networks, IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, vol. 24(2), pp. 233–246, 2006. doi: 10.1109/JSAC.2005.861381.

[29] Leelavathy S.R., Sophia S.: Providing localization using triangulation method in wireless sensor networks, International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, vol. 4(6), pp. 47–50, 2014.

[30] Liangbin L., Yang C., Xun G., Luo H.: Survey on three dimensional localization schemes based on sphere intersections in wireless sensor network, Journal of Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, vol. 29(s1), pp. 48–51, 2006.

[31] Lin Y.C., Liu J.S.: The Path Planning Algorithm of Triangle Grid Scan for Localization in Wireless Sensor Network, Applied Mechanics and Materials, vol. 278–280, pp. 1874–1877, 2013. doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.278-280.1874.

[32] Mahapatra R.K., Shet N.: Experimental analysis of RSSI-based distance estimation for wireless sensor networks. In: 2016 IEEE Distributed Computing, VLSI, Electrical Circuits and Robotics (DISCOVER), pp. 211–215, IEEE, 2016. doi: 10.1109/DISCOVER.2016.7806221.

[33] Matin M.A., Islam M.: Overview of Wireless Sensor Network. In: Wireless Sensor Networks – Technology and Protocols, pp. 1–3, InTech, 2012. doi: 10.5772/49376.

[34] Mohammed S.L.: Distance estimation based on RSSI and log-normal shadowing models for ZigBee wireless sensor network, Engineering and Technology Journal, vol. 34(15), pp. 2950–2959, 2016. doi: 10.30684/etj.34.15A.15.

[35] Naguib A.: Multilateration Localization for Wireless Sensor Networks, Indian Journal of Science and Technology, vol. 13(10), pp. 1213–1223, 2020. doi: 10.17485/ijst/2020/v13i10/150005.

[36] Niculescu D., Nath B.: Ad hoc positioning system (APS). In: GLOBECOM'01. IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference (Cat. No. 01CH37270), vol. 5, pp. 2926–2931, IEEE, 2001. doi: 10.1109/GLOCOM.2001.965964.

[37] Nov́ak M.: Introduction to Sensors for Electrical and Mechanical Engineers, CRC Press, 2020. doi: 10.1201/9781003081692.

[38] Oguejiofor O.S., Aniedu A.N., Ejiofor H.C., Okolibe A.U.: Trilateration based localization algorithm for wireless sensor network, International Journal of Science and Modern Engineering (IJISME), vol. 1(10), pp. 21–27, 2013.

[39] Osamy W., Khedr A.M., Salim A., Al-Ali A.I., El-Sawy A.A.: Coverage, Deployment and Localization Challenges in Wireless Sensor Networks based on Artificial Intelligence Techniques: A Review, IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 30232–30257, 2022. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3156729.

[40] Pathirana P.N., Bulusu N., Savkin A.V., Jha S.: Node localization using mobile robots in delay-tolerant sensor networks, IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing, vol. 4(3), pp. 285–296, 2005. doi: 10.1109/TMC.2005.43.

[41] Peng R., Sichitiu M.L.: Angle of Arrival Localization for Wireless Sensor Networks. In: 2006 3rd Annual IEEE Communications Society on Sensor and Ad Hoc Communications and Networks, Reston, VA, USA, pp. 374–382, IEEE, 2006.

[42] Qin W., Feng Y., Zhang X.: Localization algorithm for wireless sensor network based on characteristics of energy attenuation, Journal of Chinese Computer Systems, vol. 30(6), pp. 1082–1088, 2009.

[43] Rezazadeh J., Moradi M., Ismail A.S., Dutkiewicz E.: Superior path planning mechanism for mobile beacon-assisted localization in wireless sensor networks, IEEE Sensors Journal, vol. 14(9), pp. 3052–3064, 2014. doi: 10.1109/JSEN.2014.2322958.

[44] Sabale K., Mini S.: Localization in wireless sensor networks with mobile anchor node path planning mechanism, Information Sciences, vol. 579, pp. 648–666, 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.ins.2021.08.004.

[45] Savvides A., Han C.C., Strivastava M.B.: Dynamic fine-grained localization in ad-hoc networks of sensors. In: MobiCom'01: Proceedings of the 7th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking, pp. 166–179, 2001.

[46] Schwarzer S., Vossiek M., Pichler M., Stelzer A.: Precise distance measurement with IEEE 802.15. 4 (ZigBee) devices. In: 2008 IEEE Radio and Wireless Symposium, pp. 779–782, IEEE, 2008. doi: 10.1109/RWS.2008.4463608.

[47] Shang Y., Ruml W.: Improved MDS-based localization. In: IEEE INFOCOM 2004, vol. 4, pp. 2640–2651, IEEE, 2004. doi: 10.1109/INFCOM.2004.1354683.

[48] Sichitiu M.L., Ramadurai V.: Localization of wireless sensor networks with a mobile beacon. In: 2004 IEEE International Conference on Mobile Ad-hoc and Sensor Systems (IEEE Cat. No.04EX975), Fort Lauderdale, FL, USA, pp. 174–183, IEEE, 2004. doi: 10.1109/MAHSS.2004.1392104.

[49] Subramanian C.B., Maragatharajan M., Balakannan S.: Inventive approach of path planning mechanism for mobile anchors in WSN, Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing, vol. 12(3), pp. 3959–3967, 2021. doi: 10.1007/s12652-020-01752-2.

[50] Tsai P.H., Shih G.R., Cheng W.D., Tsai R.G.: Sigma-Scan: A Mobile Beacon-Assisted Localization Path-Planning Algorithm for Wireless Sensor Networks, IEEE Sensors Journal, vol. 19(23), pp. 11492–11502, 2019. doi: 10.1109 /JSEN.2019.2931399.

[51] Wang J., Huang L., Xu H., Xu B., Li S.: A novel range free localization scheme based on Voronoi diagrams in wireless sensor networks, Journal of Computer Research and Development, vol. 45(1), pp. 119–125, 2008.

[52] Yu H., Chen X., Fan J.: Gauss-Newton method based on energy target localization, Computer Engineering and Applications, vol. 43(27), pp. 124–126, 2007.